## Credit Acceptance Announces First Quarter 2008 Earnings

SOUTHFIELD, Mich., Apr 29, 2008 (PrimeNewswire via COMTEX News Network) -- Credit Acceptance Corporation (Nasdaq:CACC) (referred to as the "Company", "we", "our", or "us") announced consolidated net income of $\$ 17.6$ million, or $\$ 0.57$ per diluted share, for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to consolidated net income of $\$ 15.4$ million, or $\$ 0.49$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2007.

Net income for the quarter was positively impacted by a change in the methodology used for forecasting collection rates. Implementation of this change increased GAAP net income by $\$ 2.1$ million as higher forecasted collection rates reduced the amount of loan impairment.

Adjusted net income, a non-GAAP financial measure, for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was $\$ 16.8$ million, or $\$ 0.54$ per diluted share, compared to $\$ 16.1$ million, or $\$ 0.51$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2007.

Adjusted net income was not impacted by the change in forecasting methodology as forecast changes are recognized as yield adjustments and impact adjusted earnings over time.

Refer to our Form 10-Q, filed today with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which will appear on our website at creditacceptance.com, for a complete discussion of the results of operations and financial data for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

```
Operating Results
```

Results for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 include the following:
\% Change

Consumer loan unit volume
Three Months Ended
March 31, 2008

## Originations

- 

The following table summarizes the changes in active dealer-partners and corresponding consumer loan unit volume:
Three Months Ended March 31,
-------------------- 2007 \% change

| Consumer loan unit volume | 40,217 | 34,669 | 16.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active dealer-partners (1) | 2,292 | 1,903 | 20.4\% |
| Average volume per dealer-partner | 17.5 | 18.2 | -3.8\% |
| Consumer loan unit volume from dealerpartners active both periods | 28,374 | 28,406 | -0.1\% |
| Dealer-partners active both periods | 1,281 | 1,281 | 0.0\% |
| Average volume from dealer-partners active both periods | 22.1 | 22.2 | -0.1\% |
| Consumer loan unit volume from new dealer-partners | 3,011 | 2,386 | 26.2\% |
| New active dealer-partners (2) | 347 | 322 | 7.8\% |
| Average volume per new active dealer-partners | 8.7 | 7.4 | 17.6\% |
| Attrition (3) | -18.1\% | -17.6 |  |
| (1) Active dealer-partners are dealer-p funding for at least one dealer loa period. | ners who purcha | have rec <br> loan | ng th |
| (2) New active dealer-partners are deal our program and have received fundi or purchased loan from us during th | partners for thei eriods p | ho enro first sented. | $\begin{aligned} & d \text { in } \\ & \text { er loar } \end{aligned}$ |
| (3) Attrition is measured according to decrease in consumer loan unit volu have received funding for at least loan during the comparable period o receive funding for any dealer loan the current period divided by prior consumer loan unit volume. | followi from dea dealer he prior purcha ar compa | formul r-partn <br> an or pu ear but d loans ble per | who <br> hased <br> not <br> ing |

The increase in loan unit volume in the three months ended March 31, 2008 was the result of an increase in the number of active dealer-partners, partially offset by lower volume per active dealer-partner. Lower volume per dealer-partner is primarily the result of pricing changes made during 2007 and the first quarter of 2008 partially offset by an improving competitive environment. To a lesser extent, the decline in average volume per dealer-partner reflects the impact of new dealer-partners, who produce lower volume on average than more seasoned dealer-partners.

The following table summarizes consumer loan dollar growth in each of the last five quarters compared with the same period in the previous year:

| Three Months Ended | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: |
| March 31, 2007 | 41.1\% |
| June 30, 2007 | 43.9\% |
| September 30, 2007 | 2.2\% |
| December 31, 2007 | 23.3\% |
| March 31, 2008 | 28.5\% |

The increase in loan dollar volume during the three months ended March 31, 2008 was primarily due to the $16.0 \%$ increase in loan unit volume discussed above. In addition, loan dollar volume was positively impacted by an increase in the percentage of purchased loans accepted by us. On average, the amount paid to acquire a purchased loan is larger than the amount advanced on a dealer loan.

The following table summarizes key information regarding purchased loans:

|  | For the Th Ended | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Months } \\ & \text { h 31, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| New purchased loan unit volume as a percentage of total unit volume | 29.7\% | $5.0 \%$ |
| Net purchased loan receivable balance as a percentage of the total net receivable balance as of the end of the period | 23.1\% | 5.1\% |

Consumer Loan Performance

Since the cash flows available to repay dealer loans or purchased loans are generated, in most cases, from the underlying consumer loans, the performance of the consumer loans is critical to our financial results. The following table presents forecasted consumer loan collection rates, advance rates (includes amounts paid to acquire purchased loans), the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate), and the percentage of the forecasted collections that had been realized as of March 31, 2008. Payments of dealer holdback and accelerated payments of dealer holdback are not included in the advance percentage paid to the dealer-partner. All amounts are presented as a percentage of the initial balance of the consumer loan (principal + interest). The table includes both dealer loans and purchased loans.


During the first quarter of 2008, we implemented a new methodology for forecasting future collections on loans. The new methodology increased the dollar amount of overall forecasted collections by $0.3 \%$. While the new methodology produces overall collection rates that are very similar to those produced by the prior methodology, the new methodology utilizes a more sophisticated approach which allowed us to expand the number of variables on which the forecast is based. As a result, we believe the new forecast improves the precision of our estimates in two respects: (1) the new forecast is believed to be more accurate when applied to a smaller group of loans which allows us to forecast more accurately at the dealer pool level and more precisely measure the performance of specific segments of our portfolio and (2) the new forecast is believed to be more sensitive to changes in loan performance and will allow us to react more quickly to changes in our loan performance. The
following table summarizes the differences by loan origination year between the old and the new forecast methods as of December 31, 2007:

| Forecasted Collection \% as of December 31, 200 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan | New | Old |  |
| Year | Methodology | Methodology | Variance |
| 1999 | 72.0\% | 72.3\% | -0.3\% |
| 2000 | 72.4\% | 72.8\% | -0.4\% |
| 2001 | 67.3\% | 67.8\% | -0.5\% |
| 2002 | 70.6\% | 71.0\% | -0.4\% |
| 2003 | 74.1\% | 74.6\% | -0.5\% |
| 2004 | 73.5\% | 73.7\% | -0.2\% |
| 2005 | 73.8\% | 74.3\% | -0.5\% |
| 2006 | 70.9\% | 69.9\% | 1.0\% |
| 2007 | 71.1\% | 70.2\% | 0.9\% |

The following table presents forecasted consumer loan collection rates, advance rates (includes amounts paid to acquire purchased loans), the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate), and the percentage of the forecasted collections that had been realized as of March 31, 2008 for purchased loans and dealer loans separately:

|  | Loan <br> Origination <br> Year | Forecasted <br> Collection $\%$ | Advance \% | Spread \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchased |  |  |  |  |
| loans | 2007 | $71.8 \%$ | $49.4 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ |
|  | 2008 | $70.2 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |
| Dealer |  |  |  |  |
| loans | 2007 | $70.3 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
|  | 2008 | $69.4 \%$ | $42.8 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ |

Although the advance rate on purchased loans is higher as compared to the advance rate on dealer loans, purchased loans do not require the Company to pay dealer-holdback. As a result, purchased loans are expected to produce similar returns on capital as compared to dealer loans of comparable size. Because purchased loans are larger on average than dealer loans, the average return on capital is lower but the per unit economic profit is higher.

The following table compares our forecast of consumer loan collection rates as of March 31, 2008, with the forecast as of December 31, 2007 (restated using our new loan forecasting methodology). The table includes both dealer loans and purchased loans:

| Loan | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origination | Forecasted | Forecasted |  |
| Year | Collection \% | Collection \% | Variance |
| 1999 | 72.1\% | 72.0\% | $0.1 \%$ |
| 2000 | 72.4\% | 72.4\% | 0.0\% |
| 2001 | 67.3\% | 67.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 2002 | 70.4\% | 70.6\% | -0.2\% |
| 2003 | 74.0\% | 74.1\% | -0.1\% |


| 2004 | $73.5 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | $74.1 \%$ | $73.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| 2006 | $70.6 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 2007 | $70.6 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ |

Adjusted Financial Results

Adjusted financial results are provided to help shareholders understand our financial performance. The financial data below is non-GAAP, unless labeled otherwise. We use adjusted financial information internally to measure financial performance and to determine incentive compensation. The table below shows our results following adjustments to reflect non-GAAP accounting methods. These adjustments are explained in the table footnotes and the subsequent "Floating Yield Adjustment" and "License Fee Yield Adjustment" sections. Measures such as adjusted average capital, adjusted net income, adjusted net income per diluted share, adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax, adjusted return on capital, adjusted revenue, adjusted operating expenses, and economic profit are all non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, our reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted financial results for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007 include the following:

|  | For the Three Months Ended |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| March 31, |  |

Economic profit decreased $11.9 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007. Economic profit is a function of the return on capital in excess of the cost of capital and the amount of capital invested in the business.

For the three months ended March 31, 2008, adjusted average capital grew by $37.4 \%$ while the adjusted return on capital declined from $13.5 \%$ to $10.7 \%$.

As we discussed last quarter, the decline in the adjusted return on capital reflects pricing changes made during 2006 and early 2007 made in response to a difficult competitive environment. During the latter part of 2007 and during 2008, we began to reduce advance rates which positively impacted the return on capital of new originations. As a result, we expect the trend of declining returns on capital to reverse throughout the remainder of 2008 as the new higher return on capital loans replace older lower return loans. This expectation assumes that we are able to continue to grow loan originations and produce collection results consistent with expectations.

The following table shows adjusted revenue and adjusted operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital and the percentage change in adjusted average capital for the three months ended March 31, 2008, compared to the same period
in the prior year:

|  | For the Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 |
| Adjusted revenue as a percentage of adjusted average capital | 30.6\% | 35.6\% |
| Adjusted operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital | 13.6\% | 14.1\% |
| Percentage change in adjusted average capital | 37.4\% | 20.8\% |

The following tables show how non-GAAP measures reconcile to GAAP measures. All after-tax adjustments are calculated using a $37 \%$ tax rate as we estimate that to be our long term average effective tax rate. Amounts do not recalculate due to rounding.

|  | For the Three Months Ended March 31, |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Dollars in thousands, <br> except per share data) | 2008 |

Adjusted net income per diluted share
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding
\$ 0.54
0.51
5.9\%

30,891,227
31,283,695
$-1.3 \%$

Adjusted average capital

(3) Adjusted return on capital is defined as annualized adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax divided by adjusted average capital.
(4) The cost of capital includes both a cost of equity and a cost of debt. The cost of equity capital is determined based on a formula that considers the risk of the business and the risk associated with our use of debt. The formula utilized for determining the cost of equity capital is as follows: (the average 30 year treasury rate $+5 \%$ ) $+((1-t a x$ rate) $x$ (the average 30 year treasury rate + 5\% - pre-tax average cost of debt rate) $x$ average debt/(average equity + average debt $x$ tax rate)). For the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, the average 30 year treasury rate was $4.4 \%$ and $4.8 \%$, respectively. The adjusted pre-tax average cost of debt was $6.9 \%$ and $8.2 \%$, respectively.
(Dollars in thousands)

Adjusted revenue

GAAP total revenue
Floating yield adjustment
License fee yield adjustment
Provision for credit losses

| \$ | 70,778 | \$ | 57,351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(2,802)$ |  | 130 |
|  | 863 |  | 2,483 |
|  | $(2,649)$ |  | $(3,873)$ |
| \$ | 66,190 | \$ | 56,091 |

Adjusted average capital

```
GAAP average debt
GAAP average shareholders' equity
Floating yield adjustment
License fee yield adjustment
```

Adjusted revenue as a percentage of adjusted average capital

Adjusted operating expenses

GAAP salaries and wages
GAAP general and administrative
GAAP sales and marketing

Adjusted operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital

Percentage change in adjusted average capital compared to the same period in the prior year

| \$ 584,794 | \$ | 412,715 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 274,897 |  | 217,977 |
| 8,691 |  | 6,587 |
| $(3,136)$ |  | $(7,684)$ |
| \$ 865,246 | \$ | 629,595 |

$30.6 \%$
$35.6 \%$
$=================$

| \$ | 17,740 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 7,124 |
|  | 4,642 |
| \$ | 29,506 |

\$ 11,861
7,124
5,917
4,472
---------
---------
=========
\$ 22,250
=========
$13.6 \%$
$=========$
$14.1 \%$
=========
37.4\%
$20.8 \%$
$=================$

```
Floating Yield Adjustment
```

The purpose of this adjustment is to modify the calculation of our GAAP-based finance charge revenue so that favorable and unfavorable changes in expected cash flows from loans receivable are treated consistently. To make the adjustment understandable, we must first explain how GAAP requires us to account for finance charge revenue, our primary revenue source.

Finance charge revenue equals the cash inflows from our loan portfolio less cash outflows to acquire the loans. Our GAAP finance charge revenue is based on estimates of future cash flows and is recognized on a level-yield basis over the estimated life of the loan. With the level-yield approach, the amount of finance charge revenue recognized from a loan in a given period, divided by the loan asset, is a constant percentage. Under GAAP, favorable changes in expected cash flows are treated as increases to the yield and are recognized over time, while unfavorable changes are recorded as a current period expense. The non-GAAP methodology that we use (the "floating yield" method) is identical to the GAAP approach except that, under the "floating yield" method, all changes in expected cash flows (both positive and negative) are treated as yield adjustments and therefore impact earnings over time. The GAAP treatment always results in a lower carrying value of the loan receivable asset, but may result in either higher or lower earnings for any given period depending on the timing and amount of expected cash flow changes.

We believe floating yield earnings are a more accurate reflection of the performance of our business, since both favorable and unfavorable changes in estimated cash flows are treated consistently.

License Fee Yield Adjustment

The purpose of this adjustment is to make revenue from license fees comparable across time periods. In 2001, we began charging dealer-partners a monthly licensing fee for access to our internet-based Credit Approval Processing System, also known as CAPS.

Effective January 1, 2007, we implemented a change in the way these fees are charged designed to positively impact dealerpartner attrition. We continue to charge a monthly license fee of $\$ 599$, but instead of collecting the fee in the current period, we collect it from future dealer holdback payments.

As a result of this change, (as of January 1, 2007) we record license fees on a GAAP basis as a yield adjustment, recognizing these fees as finance charge revenue over the term of the dealer loan because collection is dependent on the future cash flows of the loan. Previously, we had recorded the fee as license fee revenue in the month the fee was charged. The current GAAP treatment is more consistent with the cash economics of the business.

To allow for proper comparisons between periods, we make an adjustment to our financial results as though license fees had always been recorded as a yield adjustment.

```
Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information
```

We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for all of our forward-looking statements. Statements in this release that are not historical facts, such as those using terms like "may," "will," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "assume," "forecast," "estimate," "intend," "plan" and those regarding our future results, plans and objectives, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements represent our outlook only as of the date of this release. Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements since the statements are based on our current expectations, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, the factors set forth in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, other risk factors discussed herein or listed from time to time in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the following:

* Our inability to accurately forecast and estimate the amount and timing of future collections could have a material adverse effect on results of operations.
* Due to increased competition from traditional financing sources and non-traditional lenders, we may not be able to compete successfully.
* We may be unable to continue to access funding sources and obtain capital on favorable terms needed to maintain and grow the business.
* We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to service our outstanding debt and fund operations.
* Requirements under credit facilities to meet financial and portfolio performance covenants.
* Interest rate fluctuations may adversely affect our borrowing costs, profitability and liquidity.
* The substantial regulation to which we are subject could result in potential liability.
* Adverse changes in economic conditions, or in the automobile or finance industries or the non-prime consumer market, could adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations and our ability to enter into future financing transactions.
* Litigation we are involved in from time to time may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.
* We are dependent on our senior management and the loss of any of these individuals or an inability to hire additional personnel could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.
* Our inability to properly safeguard confidential consumer information.
* Our operations could suffer from telecommunications or technology downtime or increased costs.
* Natural disasters, acts of war, terrorist attacks and threats or the escalation of military activity in response to such attacks or otherwise may negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Other factors not currently anticipated by management may also materially and adversely affect our results of operations. We do not undertake, and expressly disclaim any obligation, to update or alter our statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

Since 1972, Credit Acceptance has provided auto loans to consumers, regardless of their credit history. Our product is offered through a nationwide network of automobile dealers who benefit from sales of vehicles to consumers who otherwise could not obtain financing; from repeat and referral sales generated by these same customers; and from sales to customers responding to advertisements for our product, but who actually end up qualifying for traditional financing.

Without our product, consumers are often unable to purchase a vehicle or they purchase an unreliable one and are not provided the opportunity to improve their credit standing. As we report to the three national credit reporting agencies, a significant number of our consumers improve their lives by improving their credit score and move on to more traditional sources of financing. Credit Acceptance is publicly traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol CACC. For more information, visit creditacceptance.com.

## CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

 CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| Revenue: |  |  |  |  |
| Finance charges | \$ | 63,675 | \$ | 51,413 |
| License fees |  | 65 |  | 82 |
| Other income |  | 7,038 |  | 5,856 |
| Total revenue |  | 70,778 |  | 57,351 |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages |  | 17,740 |  | 11,861 |
| General and administrative |  | 7,124 |  | 5,917 |
| Sales and marketing |  | 4,642 |  | 4,472 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | 2,649 |  | 3,873 |
| Interest |  | 10,864 |  | 8,288 |
| Other expense |  | 34 |  | 25 |
| Total costs and expenses |  | 43,053 |  | 34,436 |
| Operating income |  | 27,725 |  | 22,915 |
| Foreign currency (loss) gain |  | (13) |  | 4 |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes |  | 27,712 |  | 22,919 |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 10,131 |  | 7,532 |
| Income from continuing operations |  | 17,581 |  | 15,387 |
| Discontinued operations |  |  |  |  |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued United Kingdom operations |  | 56 |  | (38) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 17 |  | (11) |
| Gain (loss) on discontinued operations |  | 39 |  | (27) |
| Net income | \$ | 17,620 | \$ | 15,360 |

Net income per common share:

| Basic | \$ | 0.59 | \$ | 0.51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.49 |


| Income from continuing operations per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic | \$ | 0.58 | \$ | 0.51 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.49 |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued operations per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | -- | \$ | -- |
| Diluted | \$ | -- | \$ | -- |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | , 881 |  | , 349 |
| Diluted |  | , 227 |  | 3,695 |

## CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION <br> CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands,
except per share data)


ASSETS:

| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 60 | \$ | 712 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents |  | 82,456 |  | 74,102 |
| Restricted securities available for sale |  | 3,036 |  | 3,290 |
| Loans receivable (including \$16,644 and |  |  |  |  |
| \$16,125 from affiliates as of March 31, |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 and December 31, 2007, |  |  |  |  |
| respectively) |  | 1,048,393 |  | 944,698 |
| Allowance for credit losses |  | $(113,825)$ |  | $(134,145)$ |
| Loans receivable, net |  | 934,568 |  | 810,553 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 21,283 |  | 20,124 |
| Income taxes receivable |  | 18,058 |  | 20,712 |
| Other assets |  | 20,317 |  | 12,689 |
| Total Assets | \$ | 1,079,778 | \$ | 942,182 |

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:
Liabilities:
Accounts payable and accrued
liabilities \$
\$ 83,739 \$ 79,834
Line of credit
87,400 36,300

| Secured financing | 544,027 | 488,065 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage note and capital lease obligations | 7,387 | 7,765 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 71,330 | 64,768 |
| Total Liabilities | 793,883 | 676,732 |

Shareholders' Equity:
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued

| -- |  |
| ---: | ---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 305 | 302 |
| 6,913 | 4,134 |
| 278,621 | 261,001 |

Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax of $\$(30)$ and $\$(7)$ at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively

Total Shareholders' Equity

| 285,895 | $265,450$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ 1,079,778 | \$ | 942,182 |
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