## Credit Acceptance Announces Fourth Quarter and Full Year 2010 Earnings

SOUTHFIELD, Mich., Feb. 2, 2011 (GLOBE NEWSWIRE) -- Credit Acceptance Corporation (Nasdaq:CACC) (referred to as the "Company", "we", "our", or "us") announced consolidated net income of $\$ 47.0$ million, or $\$ 1.69$ per diluted share, for the three months ended December 31, 2010 compared to consolidated net income of $\$ 40.3$ million, or $\$ 1.27$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, consolidated net income was $\$ 170.1$ million, or $\$ 5.67$ per diluted share, compared to consolidated net income of $\$ 146.3$ million, or $\$ 4.62$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2009.

Adjusted net income, a non-GAAP financial measure, for the three months ended December 31, 2010 was $\$ 43.6$ million, or $\$ 1.57$ per diluted share, compared to $\$ 35.5$ million, or $\$ 1.11$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, adjusted net income was $\$ 160.5$ million, or $\$ 5.35$ per diluted share, compared to adjusted net income of $\$ 125.0$ million, or $\$ 3.95$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2009.

## Webcast Details

We will host a webcast on February 2, 2011 at 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time to discuss fourth quarter and full year 2010 results. The webcast can be accessed live by visiting the "Investor Relations" section of our website at creditacceptance.com or by dialing 877-303-2904. Additionally, a replay and transcript of the webcast will be archived in the "Investor Relations" section of our website.

## Consumer Loan Performance

At the time the consumer loan is submitted to us for assignment, we forecast future expected cash flows from the consumer loan. Based on these forecasts, an advance or one-time payment is made to the related dealer-partner at a price designed to achieve an acceptable return on capital. If consumer loan performance equals or exceeds our original expectation, it is likely our target return on capital will be achieved.

We use a statistical model to estimate the expected collection rate for each consumer loan at the time of assignment. We continue to evaluate the expected collection rate of each consumer loan subsequent to assignment. Our evaluation becomes more accurate as the consumer loans age, as we use actual performance data in our forecast. By comparing our current expected collection rate for each consumer loan with the rate we projected at the time of assignment, we are able to assess the accuracy of our initial forecast. The following table compares our forecast of consumer loan collection rates as of December 31,2010 , with the forecasts as of September 30, 2010, as of December 31, 2009, and at the time of assignment, segmented by year of assignment:

|  | Forecasted Collection Percentage as of |  |  |  | Variance in Forecasted Collection Percentage from |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer Loan Assignment Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 31, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Initial Forecast | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | Initial Forecast |
| 2001 | 67.5\% | 67.5\% | 67.5\% | 70.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | -2.9\% |
| 2002 | 70.5\% | 70.5\% | 70.4\% | 67.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.6\% |
| 2003 | 73.7\% | 73.7\% | 73.7\% | 72.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| 2004 | 73.0\% | 73.0\% | 73.1\% | 73.0\% | 0.0\% | -0.1\% | 0.0\% |
| 2005 | 73.7\% | 73.7\% | 73.7\% | 74.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | -0.3\% |
| 2006 | 70.2\% | 70.2\% | 70.3\% | 71.4\% | 0.0\% | -0.1\% | -1.2\% |
| 2007 | 67.9\% | 67.9\% | 68.3\% | 70.7\% | 0.0\% | -0.4\% | -2.8\% |
| 2008 | 69.9\% | 69.9\% | 70.0\% | 69.7\% | 0.0\% | -0.1\% | 0.2\% |
| 2009 | 78.5\% | 78.0\% | 75.6\% | 71.9\% | 0.5\% | 2.9\% | 6.6\% |
| 2010 (1) | 75.8\% | 75.6\% | -- | 73.6\% | 0.2\% | -- | 2.2\% |

[^0]that were in our portfolio as of September 30, 2010 and consumer loans assigned during the most recent quarter. The following table provides forecasted collection rates for each of these segments:

| 2010 Consumer Loan Assignment Period | Forecasted Collection Percentage as of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Variance |
| January 1, 2010 through September 30, 2010 | 76.5\% | 75.6\% | 0.9\% |
| October 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010 | 73.6\% | -- | -- |

Consumer loans assigned in 2002, 2003, 2008, 2009 and 2010 have performed better than our initial expectations while consumer loans assigned in 2001, 2005, 2006 and 2007 have performed worse. During the fourth quarter of 2010, forecasted collection rates increased for consumer loans assigned in 2009 and 2010, and were consistent with expectations at the start of the period for the other assignment years. During the year ended December 31, 2010, forecasted collection rates increased for consumer loans assigned in 2009 and 2010, decreased for 2007 consumer loan assignments, and were generally consistent with expectations at the start of the period for the other assignment years.

Forecasting collection rates precisely at loan inception is difficult. With this in mind, we establish advance rates that are intended to allow us to achieve acceptable levels of profitability, even if collection rates are less than we currently forecast.

The following table presents forecasted consumer loan collection rates, advance rates (includes amounts paid to acquire purchased loans), the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate), and the percentage of the forecasted collections that had been realized as of December 31, 2010. Payments of dealer holdback and accelerated dealer holdback are not included in the advance percentage paid to the dealer-partner. All amounts, unless otherwise noted, are presented as a percentage of the initial balance of the consumer loan (principal + interest). The table includes both dealer loans and purchased loans.

As of December 31, 2010

| 2001 | 67.5\% | 46.0\% | 21.5\% | 99.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 70.5\% | 42.2\% | 28.3\% | 99.3\% |
| 2003 | 73.7\% | 43.4\% | 30.3\% | 99.2\% |
| 2004 | 73.0\% | 44.0\% | 29.0\% | 98.9\% |
| 2005 | 73.7\% | 46.9\% | 26.8\% | 98.6\% |
| 2006 | 70.2\% | 46.6\% | 23.6\% | 97.1\% |
| 2007 | 67.9\% | 46.5\% | 21.4\% | 91.4\% |
| 2008 | 69.9\% | 44.6\% | 25.3\% | 77.6\% |
| 2009 | 78.5\% | 43.9\% | 34.6\% | 57.0\% |
| 2010 | 75.8\% | 44.7\% | 31.1\% | 18.6\% |

(1) Presented as a percentage of total forecasted collections.

The risk of a material change in our forecasted collection rate declines as the consumer loans age. For 2007 and prior consumer loan assignments, the risk of a material forecast variance is modest, as we have currently realized in excess of $90 \%$ of the expected collections. Conversely, the forecasted collection rates for more recent consumer loan assignments are less certain as a significant portion of our forecast has not been realized.

The spread between the forecasted collection rate and the advance rate declined during the 2004 through 2007 period as we increased advance rates during this period in response to a more difficult competitive environment. During 2008 and 2009, the spread increased as the competitive environment improved, and we reduced advance rates. In addition, during 2009, the spread was positively impacted by better than expected consumer loan performance. We increased advance rates during the last four months of 2009, the first quarter of 2010, and the fourth quarter of 2010. The decline in the spread for 2010 reflects these increases.

The following table presents forecasted consumer loan collection rates, advance rates (includes amounts paid to acquire purchased loans), and the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate) as of December 31, 2010 for dealer loans and purchased loans separately. Payments of dealer holdback and accelerated dealer holdback are not included in the advance percentage paid to the dealer-partner. All amounts are presented as a percentage of the initial balance of the consumer loan (principal + interest).

|  | Consumer Loan Assignment Year | Forecasted Collection \% | Advance \% (1) | Spread \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dealer loans | 2007 | 67.9\% | 45.8\% | 22.1\% |
|  | 2008 | 70.5\% | 43.3\% | 27.2\% |
|  | 2009 | 78.5\% | 43.5\% | 35.0\% |
|  | 2010 | 75.7\% | 44.4\% | 31.3\% |
| Purchased loans | 2007 | 68.0\% | 49.1\% | 18.9\% |
|  | 2008 | 69.0\% | 46.7\% | 22.3\% |
|  | 2009 | 78.4\% | 45.5\% | 32.9\% |
|  | 2010 | 76.1\% | 47.1\% | 29.0\% |

(1) The advance rates presented for each consumer loan assignment year change over time due to the impact of transfers between dealer and purchased loans. Under our portfolio program, certain events may result in dealer-partners forfeiting their rights to dealer holdback. We transfer the dealer-partner's consumer loans from the dealer loan portfolio to the purchased loan portfolio in the period this forfeiture occurs.

Although the advance rate on purchased loans is higher as compared to the advance rate on dealer loans, purchased loans do not require us to pay dealer holdback.

## Consumer Loan Volume

The following table summarizes changes in consumer loan assignment volume in each of the last eight quarters as compared to the same period in the previous year:

| Three Months Ended | Year over Year Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit Volume | Dollar Volume (1) |
| March 31, 2009 | -13.0\% | -28.9\% |
| June 30, 2009 | -16.2\% | -33.5\% |
| September 30, 2009 | -5.7\% | -13.0\% |
| December 31, 2009 | 7.6\% | 5.9\% |
| March 31, 2010 | 11.2\% | 21.6\% |
| June 30, 2010 | 22.7\% | 42.2\% |
| September 30, 2010 | 26.9\% | 51.5\% |
| December 31, 2010 | 37.7\% | 66.9\% |

(1) Represents payments made to dealer-partners for advances on dealer loans and the acquisition of purchased loans. Payments of dealer holdback and accelerated dealer holdback are not included.

Consumer loan assignment volumes depend on a number of factors including (1) the overall demand for our product, (2) the amount of capital available to fund new loans, and (3) our assessment of the volume that our infrastructure can support. Our pricing strategy is intended to maximize the amount of economic profit we generate, within the confines of capital and infrastructure constraints. Our success in renewing our debt facilities and securing additional financing during 2009 and 2010 positioned us to grow year over year unit volumes. During the last four months of 2009, the first quarter of 2010, and the fourth quarter of 2010, we increased advance rates, which had a positive impact on unit volumes. While the advance increases also reduced the return on capital we expect to earn on new assignments, we believe it is very likely the advance increases had a positive impact on economic profit. Unit volume for the one month ended January 31, 2011 increased by $35.8 \%$ as compared to the same period in 2010.

The following table summarizes the changes in consumer loan unit volume and active dealer-partners:

|  | 2010 | 2009 | \% change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consumer loan unit volume | 32,299 | 23,450 | 37.7\% |
| Active dealer-partners (1) | 2,546 | 2,170 | 17.3\% |
| Average volume per active dealer-partner | 12.7 | 10.8 | 17.6\% |
| Consumer loan unit volume from dealer-partners active both periods | 24,232 | 19,927 | 21.6\% |
| Dealer-partners active both periods | 1,598 | 1,598 | -- |
| Average volume per dealer-partners active both periods | 15.2 | 12.5 | 21.6\% |
| Consumer loan unit volume from new dealer-partners | 1,397 | 1,159 | 20.5\% |
| New active dealer-partners (2) | 274 | 211 | 29.9\% |
| Average volume per new active dealer-partners | 5.1 | 5.5 | -7.3\% |
| Attrition (3) | -15.0\% | -25.4\% |  |

(1) Active dealer-partners are dealer-partners who have received funding for at least one dealer loan or purchased loan during the period.
(2) New active dealer-partners are dealer-partners who enrolled in our program and have received funding for their first dealer loan or purchased loan from us during the period.
(3) Attrition is measured according to the following formula: decrease in consumer loan unit volume from dealer-partners who have received funding for at least one dealer loan or purchased loan during the comparable period of the prior year but did not receive funding for any dealer loans or purchased loans during the current period divided by prior year comparable period consumer loan unit volume.

Consumer loans are assigned to us as either dealer loans through our portfolio program or purchased loans through our purchase program. The following table summarizes the portion of our consumer loan volume that was assigned to us as dealer loans:

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  | Years Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| New dealer loan unit volume as a percentage of total unit volume | 91.8\% | 90.8\% | 90.9\% | 86.6\% |
| New dealer loan dollar volume as a percentage of total dollar volume | 90.3\% | 88.7\% | 89.1\% | 83.8\% |

For the three months and year ended December 31, 2010, new dealer loan unit and dollar volume as a percentage of total unit and dollar volume, respectively, increased as compared to 2009 due to pricing and program enrollment changes we implemented in order to increase the profitability of the purchase program.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the net dealer loans receivable balance was $79.5 \%$ and $72.5 \%$, respectively, of the total net loans receivable balance.

## Adjusted Financial Results

Adjusted financial results are provided to help shareholders understand our financial performance. The financial data below is non-GAAP, unless labeled otherwise. We use adjusted financial information internally to measure financial performance and to determine incentive compensation. The table below shows our results following adjustments to reflect non-GAAP accounting methods. Material adjustments are explained in the table footnotes and the subsequent "Floating Yield Adjustment" and "Program Fee Yield Adjustment" sections. Measures such as adjusted average capital, adjusted net income, adjusted net income per diluted share, adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax, adjusted return on capital, adjusted revenue, operating expenses, and economic profit are all non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, our reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted financial results for the three months and year ended December 31, 2010, compared to the same periods in 2009, include the following:

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended December 31, |  |  | Years Ended <br> December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | \% Change | 2010 | 2009 | \% Change |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ 1,129,721 | \$ 989,804 | 14.1\% | \$ 1,074,210 | \$ 998,719 | 7.6\% |
| Adjusted net income | \$ 43,639 | \$ 35,508 | 22.9\% | \$ 160,488 | \$ 125,044 | 28.3\% |
| Adjusted interest expense after-tax | \$ 7,398 | \$ 5,767 | 28.3\% | \$ 30,084 | \$ 20,933 | 43.7\% |
| Adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax | \$ 51,037 | \$ 41,275 | 23.7\% | \$ 190,572 | \$ 145,977 | 30.5\% |
| Adjusted return on capital | 18.1\% | 16.7\% | 8.4\% | 17.7\% | 14.6\% | 21.2\% |
| Cost of capital | 6.8\% | 7.3\% | -6.8\% | 7.2\% | 6.7\% | 7.5\% |
| Economic profit | \$ 31,765 | \$ 23,205 | 36.9\% | \$ 112,685 | \$ 79,099 | 42.5\% |
| GAAP diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 27,865 | 31,868 | -12.6\% | 29,985 | 31,669 | -5.3\% |
| Adjusted net income per diluted share | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.11 | 41.4\% | \$ 5.35 | \$ 3.95 | 35.4\% |

Economic profit increased $36.9 \%$ and $42.5 \%$ for the three months and year ended December 31, 2010, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2009. Economic profit is a function of the return on capital in excess of the cost of capital and the amount of capital invested in the business. The following table summarizes the impact each of these components had on the increases in economic profit for the three months and year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same periods in 2009:

| (In thousands) | Year over Year Change in Economic Profit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 | Year Ended December 31, 2010 |
| Increase in adjusted return on capital | \$3,926 | \$33,560 |
| Decrease (increase) in cost of capital | 1,354 | $(5,953)$ |
| Increase in adjusted average capital | 3,280 | 5,979 |
| Increase in economic profit | \$8,560 | \$33,586 |

The increase in economic profit for the three months ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, was primarily the result of an increase in our adjusted returns on capital and an increase in adjusted average capital. The increase in adjusted average capital was due to growth in the loan portfolio. The adjusted return on capital increased 140 basis points due to the following:

- Operating expenses declined as a percentage of adjusted average capital as a result of lower loan servicing expenses, stock compensation expenses, sales tax expenses, and tax consulting expenses, partially offset by higher origination expenses. This decline positively impacted the adjusted return on capital by 110 basis points.
- Loan yields positively impacted the adjusted return on capital by 110 basis points primarily due to forecasted collection rate improvements on loans assigned in 2009 and 2010.
- A decline in net premiums earned negatively impacted our adjusted return on capital by 50 basis points, primarily due to a decline in the size of our reinsurance portfolio, which resulted from the termination of our arrangement with one of our third party insurers during the fourth quarter of 2009.

The increase in economic profit for the year ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009, was primarily the result of an increase in our adjusted return on capital which increased 310 basis points due to the following:

- Loan yields positively impacted the adjusted return on capital by 260 basis points primarily due to forecasted collection rate improvements on loans assigned in 2009 and 2010 as well as higher yields on loans assigned during the last three quarters of 2009 and the first quarter of 2010.
- Operating expenses declined as a percentage of adjusted average capital as a result of lower loan servicing expenses, stock compensation expenses, information technology support expenses, and legal expenses, partially offset by higher origination expenses. This decline positively impacted adjusted return on capital by 80 basis points.

The following table shows adjusted revenue and operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital and the percentage change in adjusted average capital for each of the last eight quarters, compared to the same periods in the prior year:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Dec. 31, 2009 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Mar. 31, 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted revenue as a percentage of adjusted average capital | 38.1\% | 38.0\% | 38.7\% | 37.8\% | 37.7\% | 36.6\% | 32.7\% | 30.7\% |
| Operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital | 9.5\% | $\underline{\text { 10.4\% }}$ | 9.3\% | 10.9\% | 11.2\% | 11.3\% | 10.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Adjusted return on capital | 18.1\% | 17.4\% | 18.5\% | 17.0\% | 16.7\% | 16.0\% | 13.9\% | 12.0\% |
| Percentage change in adjusted average capital compared to the same period in the prior year | 14.1\% | 8.7\% | 6.0\% | 1.4\% | -2.4\% | -3.0\% | 1.9\% | 15.2\% |

The adjusted return on capital for the three months ended December 31, 2010, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2010, increased 70 basis points primarily due to the following:

- Operating expenses decreased as a percentage of adjusted average capital primarily due to higher software development costs during the third quarter of 2010, including the write-off of $\$ 0.9$ million (after-tax) previously capitalized software development expenditures. This decline positively impacted adjusted return on capital by 60 basis points.

The following tables show how non-GAAP measures reconcile to GAAP measures. All after-tax adjustments are calculated using a $37 \%$ tax rate as we estimate that to be our long term average effective tax rate. Certain amounts do not recalculate due to rounding.

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. 30, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. 30, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mar. 31, $2010$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. 30, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. 30, } \\ \hline 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 31, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Adjusted net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAAP net income | \$ 46,980 | \$ 42,047 | \$ 49,040 | \$ 32,010 | \$ 40,335 | \$ 40,734 | \$ 36,185 | \$ 29,001 |
| Floating yield adjustment (after-tax) | (10) | $(1,526)$ | (330) | 2,349 | $(4,679)$ | $(4,617)$ | $(5,882)$ | $(4,345)$ |
| Program fee yield adjustment (after-tax) | 49 | 61 | 79 | 115 | 121 | 152 | 203 | 320 |
| Loss (gain) from discontinued United Kingdom segment (aftertax) | -- | -- | 25 | 5 | (263) | 78 | (35) | 11 |
| Interest expense related to interest rate swap agreement (after-tax) | -- | -- | -- | -- | (68) | (94) | (147) | (213) |
| Adjustment to record taxes at 37\% (1) | $(3,380)$ | (974) | $(7,085)$ | 1,033 | 62 | $(1,562)$ | (193) | (60) |
| Adjusted net income | \$ 43,639 | \$ 39,608 | \$ 41,729 | \$ 35,512 | \$ 35,508 | \$ 34,691 | \$ 30,131 | \$ 24,714 |
| Adjusted net income per diluted share | \$ 1.57 | \$ 1.39 | \$ 1.32 | \$ 1.12 | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 0.96 | \$ 0.79 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 27,865 | 28,452 | 31,601 | 31,584 | 31,868 | 31,539 | 31,423 | 31,180 |
| Adjusted revenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAAP total revenue | \$ 115,433 | \$ 111,661 | \$ 111,779 | \$ 103,262 | \$ 100,135 | \$ 100,268 | \$ 92,373 | \$ 87,888 |
| Floating yield adjustment | (16) | $(2,423)$ | (524) | 3,729 | $(7,426)$ | $(7,329)$ | $(9,336)$ | $(6,898)$ |
| Program fee yield adjustment | 77 | 97 | 125 | 182 | 191 | 242 | 322 | 507 |
| Provision for credit losses | $(1,978)$ | 24 | $(1,782)$ | $(6,433)$ | 4,942 | 3,433 | 3,766 | (167) |
| Provision for claims | $(5,823)$ | $(6,112)$ | $(6,282)$ | $(5,212)$ | $(4,513)$ | $(5,148)$ | $(4,829)$ | $(4,809)$ |
| Adjusted revenue | \$ 107,693 | \$ 103,247 | \$ 103,316 | \$ 95,528 | \$ 93,329 | \$ 91,466 | \$ 82,296 | \$ 76,521 |


| GAAP average debt | \$ 676,978 | \$ 645,383 | \$ 509,867 | \$ 492,069 | \$ 510,123 | \$ 562,663 | \$ 604,863 | \$ 624,279 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAAP average shareholders' equity | 448,825 | 437,288 | 553,297 | 514,364 | 474,984 | 428,377 | 388,242 | 352,562 |
| Floating yield adjustment | 4,280 | 5,230 | 5,485 | 5,619 | 5,394 | 10,134 | 15,243 | 21,829 |
| Program fee yield adjustment | (362) | (417) | (486) | (583) | (697) | (834) | $(1,012)$ | $(1,274)$ |
| Adjusted average capital | $\underline{\text { \$1,129,721 }}$ | \$1,087,484 | $\underline{\text { \$1,068,163 }}$ | \$1,011,469 | \$ 989,804 | \$1,000,340 | \$1,007,336 | \$ 997,396 |


| Adjusted revenue as a percentage of adjusted average capital | 38.1\% | 38.0\% | 38.7\% | 37.8\% | 37.7\% | 36.6\% | 32.7\% | 30.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted interest expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAAP interest expense | \$ 11,742 | \$ 12,038 | \$ 12,267 | \$ 11,705 | \$ 9,047 | \$ 8,144 | \$ 7,285 | \$7,923 |
| Interest expense related to interest rate swap agreement | -- | -- | -- | -- | 108 | 149 | 233 | 339 |
| Adjustment to record taxes at 37\% | $(4,344)$ | $(4,454)$ | $(4,539)$ | $(4,331)$ | $(3,388)$ | $(3,068)$ | $(2,782)$ | $(3,057)$ |
| Adjusted interest expense (after-tax) | \$ 7,398 | \$ 7,584 | \$ 7,728 | \$ 7,374 | \$ 5,767 | \$ 5,225 | \$ 4,736 | \$ 5,205 |


|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. 30, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mar. 31, $2009$ |

Adjusted return on capital
Adjusted net income
Adjusted interest expense (after-tax)

$\quad$| Adjusted net income plus interest |
| :--- |
| expense (after-tax) |

Adjusted return on capital (2)

Economic profit

| Adjusted return on capital | 18.1\% | 17.4\% | 18.5\% | 17.0\% | 16.7\% | 16.0\% | 13.9\% | 12.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of capital (3) | 6.8\% | 6.7\% | 7.7\% | 7.9\% | 7.3\% | 6.9\% | 6.6\% | 6.0\% |
| Adjusted return on capital in excess of cost of capital | 11.3\% | 10.7\% | 10.8\% | 9.1\% | 9.4\% | 9.1\% | 7.3\% | 6.0\% |
| Adjusted average capital | \$1,129,721 | \$1,087,484 | \$1,068,163 | \$1,011,469 | \$ 989,804 | \$1,000,340 | \$1,007,336 | \$ 997,396 |
| Economic profit | \$ 31,765 | \$ 29,085 | \$ 28,799 | \$ 23,036 | \$ 23,205 | \$ 22,515 | \$ 18,493 | \$ 14,886 |

Operating expenses
GAAP salaries and wages
GAAP general and administrative
GAAP sales and marketing

Operating expenses

| $\$ 15,034$ | $\$ 16,133$ | $\$ 14,050$ | $\$ 16,110$ | $\$ 16,395$ | $\$ 16,862$ | $\$ 16,515$ | $\$ 17,121$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6,762 | 7,208 | 5,920 | 6,542 | 7,633 | 7,869 | 6,894 | 7,995 |
| 5,045 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4,972 | 4,834 | 4,810 | 3,788 | 3,533 | 3,566 | 3,921 |

Operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital

Percentage change in adjusted average capital compared to the same period in the prior year

Years Ended December 31,
(In thousands, except per share data)

| Adjusted net income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAAP net income | \$ 170,077 | \$ 146,255 |
| Floating yield adjustment (after-tax) | 483 | $(19,523)$ |
| Program fee yield adjustment (after-tax) | 304 | 796 |
| Loss (gain) from discontinued United Kingdom segment (after-tax) | 30 | (209) |
| Interest expense related to interest rate swap agreement | -- | (522) |
| Adjustment to record taxes at 37\% | $(10,406)$ | $(1,753)$ |
| Adjusted net income | \$ 160,488 | \$ 125,044 |
| Adjusted net income per diluted share | \$ 5.35 | \$ 3.95 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 29,985 | 31,669 |
| Adjusted average capital |  |  |
| GAAP average debt | \$ 581,074 | \$ 575,482 |
| GAAP average shareholders' equity | 488,444 | 411,041 |
| Floating yield adjustment | 5,154 | 13,150 |
| Program fee yield adjustment | (462) | (954) |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ 1,074,210 | \$ 998,719 |


| (In thousands, except per share data) | Years Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Adjusted interest expense |  |  |
| GAAP interest expense | \$ 47,752 | \$ 32,399 |
| Interest expense related to interest rate swap agreement | -- | 829 |
| Adjustment to record taxes at 37\% | $(17,668)$ | $(12,295)$ |
| Adjusted interest expense (after-tax) | \$ 30,084 | \$ 20,933 |

## Adjusted return on capital

| Adjusted net income | \$ 160,488 | \$ 125,044 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted interest expense (after-tax) | 30,084 | 20,933 |
| Adjusted net income plus interest expense (after-tax) | \$ 190,572 | \$ 145,977 |
| Adjusted return on capital (2) | 17.7\% | 14.6\% |
| Economic profit |  |  |
| Adjusted return on capital | 17.7\% | 14.6\% |
| Cost of capital (3) | 7.2\% | 6.7\% |
| Adjusted return on capital in excess of cost of capital | 10.5\% | 7.9\% |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ 1,074,210 | \$ 998,719 |
| Economic profit | \$ 112,685 | \$ 79,099 |

(1) The adjustment for the three months ended June 30, 2010 is primarily related to the reversal of reserves for uncertain tax positions and associated interest as a result of the completion of the IRS audit during the period, which reduced our effective tax rate under GAAP.
(2) Adjusted return on capital is defined as annualized adjusted net income plus adjusted interest expense after-tax divided by adjusted average capital.
(3) The cost of capital includes both a cost of equity and a cost of debt. The cost of equity capital is determined based on a formula that considers the risk of the business and the risk associated with our use of debt. The formula utilized for determining the cost of equity capital is as follows: (the average 30 year treasury rate $+5 \%$ ) + [(1-tax rate) $\times$ (the average 30 year treasury rate $+5 \%$ - pre-tax average cost of debt rate) $x$ average debt/(average equity + average debt $x$ tax rate)]. For the periods presented, the average 30 year treasury rate and the adjusted pre-tax average cost of debt were as follows:

## Three Months Ended

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sept. 30, 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. 30, } \\ \hline 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. 30, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Jun. 30, $2009$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 31, } \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average 30 year treasury rate | 4.1\% | 3.8\% | 4.4\% | 4.6\% | 4.3\% | 4.2\% | 4.1\% | 3.5\% |
| Adjusted pre-tax average cost of debt | 6.9\% | 7.5\% | 9.6\% | 9.5\% | 7.2\% | 5.9\% | 5.0\% | 5.3\% |



## Floating Yield Adjustment

The purpose of this adjustment is to modify the calculation of our GAAP-based finance charge revenue so that favorable and unfavorable changes in expected cash flows from loans receivable are treated consistently. To make the adjustment understandable, we must first explain how GAAP requires us to account for finance charge revenue, our primary revenue source.

The finance charge revenue we will recognize over the life of the loan equals the cash inflows from our loan portfolio less cash outflows to acquire the loans. Our GAAP finance charge revenue is based on estimates of future cash flows and is recognized on a level-yield basis over the estimated life of the loan. With the level-yield approach, the amount of finance charge revenue recognized from a loan in a given period, divided by the loan asset, is a constant percentage. Under GAAP, favorable changes in expected cash flows are treated as increases to the yield and are recognized over time, while unfavorable changes are recorded as a current period expense. The non-GAAP methodology that we use (the "floating yield" method) is identical to the GAAP approach except that, under the "floating yield" method, all changes in expected cash flows (both positive and negative) are treated as yield adjustments and therefore impact earnings over time. The GAAP treatment always results in a lower carrying value of the loan receivable asset, but may result in either higher or lower earnings for any given period depending on the timing and amount of expected cash flow changes.

We believe adjusted earnings, which include the floating yield adjustment, are a more accurate reflection of the performance of our business, since both favorable and unfavorable changes in estimated cash flows are treated consistently.

## Program Fee Yield Adjustment

The purpose of this adjustment is to make revenue from program fees comparable across time periods. In 2001, we began charging dealer-partners a monthly program fee. Effective January 1, 2007, we implemented a change in the way these fees are charged designed to positively impact dealer-partner attrition. We continue to charge a monthly program fee, but instead of collecting the fee in the current period, we collect it from future dealer holdback payments.

As a result of this change, effective January 1, 2007, we began recording program fees on a GAAP basis as a yield adjustment, recognizing these fees as finance charge revenue over the term of the dealer loan because collection is dependent on the future cash flows of the loan. Previously, we had recorded the fee as program fee revenue in the month the fee was charged. The current GAAP treatment is more consistent with the cash economics of the business.

To allow for proper comparisons between periods, we make an adjustment to our financial results as though program fees had always been recorded as a yield adjustment. The program fee yield adjustment is immaterial for 2010 and future periods.

## Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information

We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for all of our forward-looking statements. Statements in this release that are not historical facts, such as those using terms like "may," "will," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "assume," "forecast," "estimate," "intend," "plan," "target" and those regarding our future results, plans and objectives, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements represent our outlook only as of the date of this release. Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements since the statements are based on our current expectations, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, the factors set forth in Item 1A to our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 3, 2010, other risk factors discussed herein or listed from time to time in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the following:

- Our inability to accurately forecast and estimate the amount and timing of future collections could have a material adverse effect on results of operations.
- We may be unable to execute our business strategy due to current economic conditions.
- We may be unable to continue to access or renew funding sources and obtain capital needed to maintain and grow our business.
- The terms of our debt limit how we conduct our business.
- The conditions of the U.S. and international capital markets may adversely affect lenders with which we have relationships, causing us to incur additional costs and reducing our sources of liquidity, which may adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations.
- Our substantial debt could negatively impact our business, prevent us from satisfying our debt obligations and adversely affect our financial condition.
- Due to competition from traditional financing sources and non-traditional lenders, we may not be able to compete successfully.
- We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding debt and fund operations and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under such debt.
- Interest rate fluctuations may adversely affect our borrowing costs, profitability and liquidity.
- Reduction in our credit rating could increase the cost of our funding from, and restrict our access to, the capital markets and adversely affect our liquidity, financial condition and results of operations.
- We may incur substantially more debt and other liabilities. This could exacerbate further the risks associated with our current debt levels.
- The regulation to which we are or may become subject could result in a material adverse effect on our business.
- Adverse changes in economic conditions, the automobile or finance industries, or the non-prime consumer market could adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results of operations, the ability of key vendors that we depend on to supply us with services, and our ability to enter into future financing transactions.
- Litigation we are involved in from time to time may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.
- Our operations are dependent on technology.
- We are dependent on our senior management and the loss of any of these individuals or an inability to hire additional team members could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.
- Our reputation is a key asset to our business, and our business may be affected by how we are perceived in the marketplace.
- The concentration of our dealer-partners in several states could adversely affect us.
- Failure to properly safeguard confidential consumer information could subject us to liability, decrease our profitability and damage our reputation.
- Our founder controls a majority of our common stock, has the ability to control matters requiring shareholder approval and has interests which may conflict with the interests of our other security holders.
- Reliance on our outsourced business functions could adversely affect our business.
- Natural disasters, acts of war, terrorist attacks and threats or the escalation of military activity in response to these attacks or otherwise may negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Other factors not currently anticipated by management may also materially and adversely affect our results of operations. We do not undertake, and expressly disclaim any obligation, to update or alter our statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

## Description of Credit Acceptance Corporation

Since 1972, Credit Acceptance has provided auto loans to consumers, regardless of their credit history. Our product is offered through a nationwide network of automobile dealers who benefit from sales of vehicles to consumers who otherwise could not obtain financing; from repeat and referral sales generated by these same customers; and from sales to customers responding to advertisements for our product, but who actually end up qualifying for traditional financing.

Without our product, consumers are often unable to purchase a vehicle or they purchase an unreliable one. Further, as we report to the three national credit reporting agencies, an important ancillary benefit of our program is that we provide a significant number of our consumers with an opportunity to improve their lives by improving their credit score and move on to more traditional sources of financing. Credit Acceptance is publicly traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol CACC. For more information, visit creditacceptance.com.

## CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

| (In thousands, except per share data) | Three Months Ended$\qquad$ December 31, |  | Years Ended <br> December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
|  | (Unaudited) |  | (Unaudited) |  |
| Revenue: |  |  |  |  |
| Finance charges | \$ 103,583 | \$ 87,098 | \$ 388,050 | \$ 329,437 |
| Premiums earned | 8,083 | 8,348 | 32,659 | 33,605 |
| Other income | 3,767 | 4,689 | 21,426 | 17,622 |
| Total revenue | 115,433 | 100,135 | 442,135 | 380,664 |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and wages | 15,034 | 16,395 | 61,327 | 66,893 |
| General and administrative | 6,762 | 7,633 | 26,432 | 30,391 |
| Sales and marketing | 5,045 | 3,788 | 19,661 | 14,808 |
| Provision for credit losses | 1,819 | $(4,947)$ | 10,037 | $(12,164)$ |
| Interest | 11,742 | 9,047 | 47,752 | 32,399 |
| Provision for claims | 5,823 | 4,513 | 23,429 | 19,299 |
| Total costs and expenses | 46,225 | 36,429 | 188,638 | 151,626 |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes | 69,208 | 63,706 | 253,497 | 229,038 |
| Provision for income taxes | 22,228 | 23,634 | 83,390 | 82,992 |
| Income from continuing operations | 46,980 | 40,072 | 170,107 | 146,046 |
| Discontinued operations |  |  |  |  |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued United Kingdom operations | -- | 116 | (30) | 137 |
| Credit for income taxes | -- | (147) | -- | (72) |
| Gain (loss) from discontinued operations | -- | 263 | (30) | 209 |
| Net income | \$ 46,980 | \$ 40,335 | \$ 170,077 | \$ 146,255 |

Net income per share:
Basic
Diluted

| \$ 1.72 |
| :--- |

Income from continuing operations per share:
Basic
Diluted

| \$ 1.72 |
| :--- |

Gain (loss) from discontinued operations per share:

## Basic

Diluted

| \$ -- | \$ 0.01 | \$ -- | \$ 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ -- | \$ 0.01 | \$ -- | \$ 0.01 |

Weighted average shares outstanding:

| Basic | 27,351 | 30,798 | 29,393 | 30,590 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diluted | 27,865 | 31,868 | 29,985 | 31,669 |

## CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except per share data)

## ASSETS:

Cash and cash equivalents
Restricted cash and cash equivalents
Restricted securities available for sale

Loans receivable (including $\$ 9,031$ and $\$ 12,674$ from affiliates as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively)

Allowance for credit losses
Loans receivable, net

Property and equipment, net
Income taxes receivable
Other assets
Total Assets

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

## Liabilities:

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities
Line of credit
Secured financing
Mortgage note and capital lease obligations
Senior notes
Deferred income taxes, net
Total Liabilities

## Shareholders' Equity:

Preferred stock, $\$ .01$ par value, 1,000 shares authorized, none issued
Common stock, $\$ .01$ par value, 80,000 shares authorized, 27,304 and 31,038 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively
Paid-in capital

Retained earnings

| 273 | 311 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 30,985 | 24,370 |
| 443,326 | 474,433 |

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax of \$64 and \$526 at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively
(110) $\qquad$
Total Shareholders' Equity
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 474,474 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

$\xlongequal{\$ 1,343,515} \xlongequal{\$ 1,176,236}$

Cash Flows From Operating Activities:
Net income
Adjustments to reconcile cash provided by operating activities:
Provision for credit losses

Depreciation and amortization
Loss on retirement of property and equipment
Loss on impairment of software
Provision for deferred income taxes
Stock-based compensation
Change in operating assets and liabilities:
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities
Increase in income taxes receivable / decrease in income taxes payable (Increase) decrease in other assets Net cash provided by operating activities
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash and cash equivalents
Purchases of restricted securities available for sale
Proceeds from sale of restricted securities available for sale
Maturities of restricted securities available for sale
Principal collected on loans receivable
Advances to dealer-partners and accelerated payments of dealer holdback
Purchases of consumer loans
Payments of dealer holdback
Net decrease (increase) in other loans
Purchases of property and equipment
Net cash used in investing activities
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:

## Cash Flows From Financing Activities:

Borrowings under line of credit
Repayments under line of credit
Proceeds from secured financing
Repayments of secured financing
Principal payments under mortgage note and capital lease obligations
Proceeds from sale of senior notes
Payments of debt issuance costs
Repurchase of common stock
Proceeds from stock options exercised
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans
Net cash used in financing activities
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash
Net increase (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period
$\frac{2010}{\text { (Unaudited) }} \xrightarrow{2009}$
\$ 170,077
\$ 146,255

10,037
$(12,164)$
11,080 9,660 100
1,362
13,863 17,740
4,127 6,805
(730)
$(8,046)$
$(1,137)$
200,698

160,361

1,661
661,246
$(533,465)$
$(103,283)$
$(44,269)$
(152)

| $(3,440)$ |
| ---: |
| $(163,250)$ |
| $(24,761)$ |


| $1,097,900$ | 630,900 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $(1,058,500)$ | $(594,900)$ |
| 327,700 | 397,000 |
| $(432,197)$ | $(566,578)$ |
| $(559)$ | $(1,157)$ |
| 243,738 | -- |
| $(15,171)$ | $(7,581)$ |
| $(202,247)$ | $(541)$ |
| 2,903 | 1,941 |
| 610 | 4,341 |
|  | $(136,575)$ |
| $3)$ | $(9)$ |
| 1,622 | $(984)$ |
| 2,170 | 3,154 |
| $\$ 3,792$ | $\$ 2,170$ |

## Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

Cash paid during the period for interest
Cash paid during the period for income taxes

| $\$ 42,548$ | $\$ 27,559$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 81,750$ | $\$ 67,563$ |

## CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION

## SUMMARY FINANCIAL DATA

## Loans Receivable

A summary of changes in Loans receivable is as follows:

| (In thousands) | (Unaudited) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |
|  | Dealer Loans | Purchased Loans | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 869,603 | \$ 297,955 | \$ 1,167,558 |
| New consumer loan assignments (1) | 819,538 | 100,430 | 919,968 |
| Principal collected on loans receivable | $(632,616)$ | $(153,331)$ | $(785,947)$ |
| Dealer holdback payments | 44,220 | -- | 44,220 |
| Transfers (2) | $(17,807)$ | 17,807 | -- |
| Write-offs | $(3,043)$ | (143) | $(3,186)$ |
| Recoveries | 2,318 | 124 | 2,442 |
| Net change in other loans | (207) | -- | (207) |
| Currency translation | 33 | -- | 33 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,082,039 | \$ 262,842 | \$ 1,344,881 |


|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dealer Loans | Purchased Loans | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 823,567 | \$ 325,185 | \$ 1,148,752 |
| New consumer loan assignments (1) | 533,465 | 103,283 | 636,748 |
| Principal collected on loans receivable | $(515,847)$ | $(145,399)$ | $(661,246)$ |
| Dealer holdback payments | 44,269 | -- | 44,269 |
| Transfers (2) | $(14,935)$ | 14,935 | -- |
| Write-offs | $(4,234)$ | (95) | $(4,329)$ |
| Recoveries | 2,996 | 46 | 3,042 |
| Net change in other loans | 152 | -- | 152 |
| Currency translation | 170 | -- | 170 |
| Balance, end of period | \$869,603 | \$ 297,955 | \$ 1,167,558 |

(1) The dealer loans amount represents advances and accelerated dealer holdback payments made to dealer-partners for consumer loans assigned under our portfolio program. The purchased loans amount represents payments made to dealer-partners to purchase consumer loans assigned under our purchase program.
(2) Under our portfolio program, certain events may result in dealer-partners forfeiting their rights to dealer holdback. We transfer the dealerpartner's outstanding dealer loan balance to purchased loans in the period this forfeiture occurs.

A summary of changes in the Allowance for credit losses is as follows:
(In thousands)

## (Unaudited)

For the Year Ended December 31, 2010

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dealer Loans | Purchased Loans | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 108,792 | \$ 8,753 | \$ 117,545 |
| Provision for credit losses | 5,130 | 4,907 | 10,037 |
| Write-offs | $(3,043)$ | (143) | $(3,186)$ |
| Recoveries | 2,318 | 124 | 2,442 |

$\qquad$ --

|  | For the Year Ended December 31, 2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dealer Loans | Purchased Loans | Total |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 113,831 | \$ 17,004 | \$ 130,835 |
| Provision for credit losses | $(3,962)$ | $(8,202)$ | $(12,164)$ |
| Write-offs | $(4,234)$ | (95) | $(4,329)$ |
| Recoveries | 2,996 | 46 | 3,042 |
| Currency translation | 161 | -- | 161 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 108,792 | \$ 8,753 | \$ 117,545 |

```
CONTACT: Investor Relations: Douglas W. Busk
    Senior Vice President and Treasurer
    (248) 353-2700 Ext. 4432
    IR@creditacceptance.com
```

Source: Credit Acceptance Corporation
News Provided by Acquire Media


[^0]:    (1) The forecasted collection rate for 2010 consumer loans as of December 31, 2010 includes both consumer loans

