## Credit Acceptance Announces Third Quarter 2008 Earnings

SOUTHFIELD, Mich., Oct 31, 2008 (GlobeNewswire via COMTEX News Network) -- Credit Acceptance Corporation (Nasdaq:CACC) (referred to as the "Company", "we", "our", or "us") announced consolidated net income of $\$ 20.7$ million, or $\$ 0.67$ per diluted share, for the three months ended September 30, 2008, compared to consolidated net income of $\$ 14.7$ million, or $\$ 0.47$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2007. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 consolidated net income was $\$ 48.6$ million, or $\$ 1.57$ per diluted share, compared to consolidated net income of $\$ 42.4$ million, or $\$ 1.36$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2007.

Adjusted net income, a non-GAAP financial measure, for the three months ended September 30, 2008 was $\$ 22.3$ million, or $\$ 0.72$ per diluted share, compared to $\$ 15.8$ million, or $\$ 0.51$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2007 . For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 adjusted net income was $\$ 59.2$ million, or $\$ 1.91$ per diluted share, compared to adjusted net income of $\$ 46.8$ million, or $\$ 1.50$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2007.

Refer to our Form 10-Q, filed today with the Securities and Exchange Commission and included on our website at creditacceptance.com, for a complete discussion of the results of operations and financial data for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008.

```
Operating Results
```

Results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared to the same periods in 2007, include the following:

|  |  | ge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ```Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2008``` | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2008 |
| Consumer loan unit volume | 26.9\% | 22.0\% |
| Consumer loan dollar volume | 27.5\% | 32.0\% |
| Number of active dealer-partners | 16.2\% | 19.2\% |
| Average loans receivable balance, net | 37.7\% | 35.0\% |

Loan Performance
----------------

The following table compares our forecast of consumer loan collection rates as of September 30, 2008, with the forecasts as of June 30, 2008, as of December 31, 2007, and at the time of assignment, segmented by year of assignment:

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Initial <br> Foreca | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Init Fore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1999 | 72.1\% | 72.1\% | 72.0\% | 73.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | -1.5\% |
| 2000 | 72.5\% | 72.5\% | 72.4\% | $72.8 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | -0.3\% |
| 2001 | 67.4\% | 67.4\% | 67.3\% | 70.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | -3.0\% |
| 2002 | 70.4\% | 70.4\% | 70.6\% | 67.9\% | 0.0\% | -0.2\% | 2.5\% |
| 2003 | 73.9\% | 74.0\% | 74.1\% | 72.0\% | -0.1\% | -0.2\% | 1.9\% |
| 2004 | 73.5\% | 73.5\% | 73.5\% | 73.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| 2005 | 74.1\% | 74.1\% | 73.8\% | 74.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% |
| 2006 | 70.3\% | 70.2\% | 70.9\% | 71.4\% | 0.1\% | -0.6\% | -1.1\% |
| 2007 | 68.2\% | 68.2\% | 71.1\% | 70.7\% | 0.0\% | -2.9\% | -2.5\% |
| 2008 | 68.2\% | 69.0\% | -- | 69.7\% | -0.8\% | -- | -1.5\% |

(1) These forecasted collection percentages differ from those previously reported in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and our 2007 earnings release as they have been revised for a new methodology for forecasting future collections on loans that we implemented during the first quarter of 2008.

Both GAAP net income and adjusted net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 were negatively impacted by a reduction in our forecasted collection rates during the second quarter of 2008 . We forecast future loan cash flows by comparing loans in our current portfolio to historical loans with the same attributes. The attributes include both variables captured at loan origination, like credit bureau data, application data, loan data and vehicle data, as well as variables captured subsequent to loan origination, such as collection and delinquency data. Our forecast as of March 31, 2008 assumed that loans within our current portfolio would produce similar collection rates as produced by historical loans with the same attributes. During the second quarter of 2008, we modified our forecasting methodology, which now assumes that loans originated in 2006, 2007 and 2008 will perform 100 to 300 basis points worse than historical loans with the same attributes.

During the third quarter, actual loan performance for 2007 and prior originations was consistent with our revised forecast. As a result, forecasted collection rates on 2007 and prior loans remained consistent with our forecasts for these same loans three months ago. Actual loan performance was slightly worse than expected for 2008 originations. As a result, the table above shows a decline in the forecasted collection rate for 2008 loans from $69.0 \%$ to $68.2 \%$. The forecasted collection rate for 2008 loans as of September 30, 2008 includes both loans that were in our portfolio as of June 30, 2008 and loans received during the most recent quarter. The following table summarizes the change in our forecast for each of these segments:

| 2008 Loan Assignment Period | Forecasted Collection Percentage as of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } 30, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | Variance |
| January 1, 2008 through |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2008 | 68.3\% | 69.0\% | -0.7\% |
| July 1, 2008 through |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2008 | 68.0\% | -- | -- |

As a result of the current economic uncertainty, we are cautious about our forecasts of future collection percentages. However, we believe our current estimates are reasonable for the following reasons:

[^0]originated in 2006 through 2008 by 100 to 300 basis points based on recent trends and a concern about the worsening economic environment.

* Actual loan performance during the third quarter was consistent with our forecast as of June 30, 2008 for loans originated in 2007 and prior periods.
* Actual loan performance during the third quarter was slightly below our forecast as of June 30, 2008 for loans originated during the first six months of 2008 , and our forecasted collection rate for these loans was reduced accordingly.
* We have reduced the forecasted collection rate used at loan inception to price new loan originations. As of September 1, 2008, the forecasted collection rate used at loan inception is approximately 300 basis points lower than identical loans originated a year ago.
* Our current forecasting methodology, when applied against historical data, produces a consistent result as the loans age.

If the economic environment continues to deteriorate, our loan collection rates may continue to decline. Knowing this, we set prices at loan inception to increase the likelihood of achieving an acceptable return on capital, even if collection results are worse than we currently forecast. A 100 basis point change in the collection rate impacts the after-tax return on capital by approximately 30 basis points for dealer loans and approximately 65 basis points for purchased loans.

The following table presents forecasted consumer loan collection rates, advance rates (includes amounts paid to acquire purchased loans), the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate), and the percentage of the forecasted collections that had been realized as of September 30, 2008. Payments of dealer holdback and accelerated payments of dealer holdback are not included in the advance percentage paid to the dealer-partner. All amounts are presented as a percentage of the initial balance of the consumer loan (principal + interest). The table includes both dealer loans and purchased loans.

As of September 30, 2008


The following table presents forecasted consumer loan collection rates, advance rates (includes amounts paid to acquire purchased loans), and the spread (the forecasted collection rate less the advance rate) as of September 30, 2008 for purchased loans and dealer loans separately:

|  | Loan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assignment |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Forecasted |  |  |  |
|  | Collection \% | Advance \% | Spread \% |  |
| Purchased loans | 2007 | $68.0 \%$ | $48.9 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ |


|  | 2008 | $67.5 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dealer loans | 2007 | $68.2 \%$ | $45.9 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
|  | 2008 | $68.6 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ |

Although the advance rate on purchased loans is higher as compared to the advance rate on dealer loans, purchased loans do not require the Company to pay dealer holdback. The increase in the spread between the forecasted collection rate and the advance rate occurred as a result of pricing changes implemented during the first nine months of 2008.

## Loan Volume

-----------

The Company experienced strong demand for its product during the quarter. During 2008, the competitive environment has allowed the Company to reduce advance rates and maintain strong growth in unit volumes. The following table summarizes changes in loan volume and active dealer-partners during the most recent quarter:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 | 2007 | \% change |
| Consumer loan unit volume | 27,636 | 21,784 | 26.9\% |
| Active dealer-partners (1) | 2,270 | 1,953 | 16.2\% |
| Average volume per active dealer-partner | 12.2 | 11.2 | 8.9\% |
| Consumer loan unit volume from dealerpartners active both periods | 18,393 | 17,293 | 6.4\% |
| Dealer-partners active both periods | 1,244 | 1,244 | 0.0\% |
| Average volume per dealer-partners active both periods | 14.8 | 13.9 | 6.4\% |
| Consumer loan unit volume from new dealer-partners | 1,792 | 1,190 | 50.6\% |
| New active dealer-partners (2) | 300 | 258 | 16.3\% |
| Average volume per new active dealerpartners | 6.0 | 4.6 | 30.4\% |
| Attrition (3) | 20.6\% | 19.5\% |  |

(1) Active dealer-partners are dealer-partners who have received funding for at least one dealer loan or purchased loan during the period.
(2) New active dealer-partners are dealer-partners who enrolled in our program and have received funding for their first dealer loan or purchased loan from us during the periods presented.
(3) Attrition is measured according to the following formula: decrease in consumer loan unit volume from dealer-partners who have received funding for at least one dealer loan or purchased loan during the comparable period of the prior year but did not
receive funding for any dealer loans or purchased loans during
the current period divided by prior year comparable period
consumer loan unit volume.

The increase in unit volume for the quarter resulted from increased volume per active dealer-partner as well as an increase in the number of active dealer-partners.

The following table summarizes consumer loan dollar growth in each of the last seven quarters compared with the same period in the previous year:


Unit volume and dollar volume grew at roughly the same rate during the third quarter of 2008 due to various pricing changes implemented at the end of the second quarter and in the third quarter of 2008 that have reduced the average loan size.

The following table summarizes key information regarding purchased loans:

| Three Months |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ended | . 30, |
| 2008 | 2007 |


| Nine Months |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ended | 30, |
| 2008 | 2007 |


| New purchased loan unit volume as a percentage of total unit volume | 30.8\% | 25.5\% | 31.6\% | 14.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net purchased loan receivable balance as a percentage of the total net receivable balance as of the end of the period |  |  | 30.0\% | 12.1\% |

Access to Capital
--------------------

Since the beginning of 2008, we have:

[^1]```
* Renewed our $325.0 million warehouse facility to August 2009
* Completed a $150.0 million asset-backed secured financing with
    an institutional investor
* Completed a $50.0 million two-year revolving warehouse facility
    with another institutional investor
* Renewed our $50.0 million residual credit facility to August 2009
```

Based on our available capital, we are targeting a 10\% reduction in year-over-year consumer loan unit volume for the fourth quarter of 2008. Our target growth rate in 2009 will depend on our success in securing additional financing and renewing our existing debt facilities. If no additional capital is obtained, during the first six months of 2009, we expect to continue to target unit volumes that are approximately $10 \%$ lower than the prior year comparable period.

In August of 2009, our $\$ 325.0$ million warehouse facility and our $\$ 50.0$ million residual credit facility (collectively referred to as the "maturing facilities") mature. If we are unsuccessful in renewing the maturing facilities, and alternative financing cannot be obtained, additional reductions in loan origination volumes will be required. Given current conditions in the credit markets, there can be no assurance that the maturing facilities will be renewed or that alternative financing will be obtained. In the event that the maturing facilities are not renewed, no further advances would be made under the maturing facilities. Assuming the Company continues to be in compliance with all debt covenants, the amount outstanding would be repaid over time as the collections on the loans securing the maturing facilities are received.

The following table summarizes targeted loan origination volumes under two scenarios: (1) the maturing facilities are renewed (or replaced) but no other additional capital is obtained during 2009; and (2) no additional capital is obtained during 2009 and the maturing facilities are not renewed.


Adjusted Financial Results

Adjusted financial results are provided to help shareholders understand our financial performance. The financial data below is non-GAAP, unless labeled otherwise. We use adjusted financial information internally to measure financial performance and to determine incentive compensation. The table below shows our results following adjustments to reflect non-GAAP accounting methods. These adjustments are explained in the table footnotes and the subsequent "Floating Yield Adjustment" and "License Fee Yield Adjustment" sections. Measures such as adjusted average capital, adjusted net income, adjusted net income per diluted share, adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax, adjusted return on capital, adjusted revenue, adjusted operating expenses, and economic profit are all non-GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP financial measures should be viewed in addition to, and not as an alternative for, our reported results prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Adjusted financial results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, compared to the same periods in 2007, include the following:

| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | \% | Change |
| Adjusted average capital |  |  | 031,581 | \$ | 724,884 |  | 42.3\% |
| Adjusted net income |  |  | 22,260 | \$ | 15,754 |  | 41.3\% |
| Adjusted interest expense after-tax |  |  | 7,081 | \$ | 5,689 |  | 24.5\% |
| Adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax |  |  | 29,341 | \$ | 21,443 |  | 36.8\% |
| Adjusted return on capital |  |  | 11.4\% |  | 11.8\% |  | -3.4\% |
| Cost of capital |  |  | 6.5\% |  | 7.1\% |  | -8.5\% |
| Economic profit |  |  | 12,636 | \$ | 8,606 |  | $46.8 \%$ |
| GAAP diluted weighted average shares outstanding |  |  | ,024,455 |  | 139,612 |  | -0.4\% |
| Adjusted net income per diluted share |  |  | 0.72 | \$ | 0.51 |  | 41.2\% |
|  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) |  |  | 2008 | 2007 |  |  | Change |
| Adjusted average capital |  |  | 961,944 | \$ | 687,604 |  | 39.9\% |
| Adjusted net income |  |  | 59,220 | \$ | 46,786 |  | 26.6\% |
| Adjusted interest expense after-tax |  |  | 19,996 | \$ | 16,870 |  | 18.5\% |
| Adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax |  |  | 79,216 | \$ | 63,656 |  | 24.4\% |
| Adjusted return on capital |  |  | 11.0\% |  | 12.3\% |  | -10.6\% |
| Cost of capital |  |  | 6.5\% |  | 7.1\% |  | -8.5\% |
| Economic profit |  |  | 32,466 | \$ | 26,971 |  | 20.4\% |
| GAAP diluted weighted average shares outstanding |  | 30,994,466 |  | 31,228,893 |  |  | -0.8\% |
| Adjusted net income per diluted share |  |  | 1.91 | \$ | 1.50 |  | 27.3\% |

Economic profit increased $46.8 \%$ and $20.4 \%$ for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2007. Economic profit is a function of the return on capital in excess of the cost of capital and the amount of capital invested in the business.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, adjusted average capital grew by $42.3 \%$ and adjusted return on capital declined from $11.8 \%$ to $11.4 \%$. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008, adjusted average capital grew by $39.9 \%$ while the adjusted return on capital declined from $12.3 \%$ to $11.0 \%$.

Although the return on capital is lower as compared to the prior year period, the return on capital improved during the third quarter of 2008 as compared to the second quarter of 2008. As we discussed in prior quarters, the decline in the return on capital experienced through the first quarter of 2008 was the result of lower yields produced by loans originated in 2006 and 2007 as a result of pricing reductions made during these periods in response to a difficult competitive environment. During the latter part of 2007 and during 2008, we increased prices which positively impacted the yield and return on capital of new originations. While the sequential improvement in the return on capital was less than it would have been had we not reduced our estimate of future loan collection rates during the second quarter of 2008, the return on capital improved to $11.4 \%$ during the quarter compared to $10.8 \%$ during the second quarter of 2008.

The following table shows adjusted revenue and adjusted operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital and the percentage change in adjusted average capital for each of the last seven quarters, compared to the same periods in the prior year:


The following tables show how non-GAAP measures reconcile to GAAP measures. All after-tax adjustments are calculated using a $37 \%$ tax rate as we estimate that to be our long term average effective tax rate. Amounts do not recalculate due to rounding.

----------------------

| GAAP net income | \$ | 20,657 | $\$$ | 14,742 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Floating yield adjustment |  |  |  |  |
| (after-tax) |  |  |  |  |$\quad 40.1 \%$


| GAAP average debt | \$ | 706,637 | \$ | 477,930 | 47.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAAP average shareholders' equity |  | 308,990 |  | 243,922 | 26.7\% |
| Floating yield adjustment |  | 18,002 |  | 8,348 |  |
| License fee yield adjustment |  | $(2,048)$ |  | $(5,316)$ |  |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ | 031,581 | \$ | 724,884 | 42.3\% |


| Adjusted net income | \$ | 22,260 | \$ | 15,754 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted interest expense after-tax |  | 7,081 |  | 5,689 |  |
| Adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax | \$ | 29,341 | \$ | 21,443 | 36.8\% |
| Adjusted return on capital (2) |  | 11.4\% |  | 11.8\% | -3.4\% |

Economic profit

| Adjusted return on capital |  | 11.4\% |  | 11.8\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of capital (3) |  | 6.5\% | 7.1\% |  |  |
| Adjusted return on capital in excess of cost of capital |  | 4.9\% |  | 4.7\% |  |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ | 31,581 | \$ | 4,884 |  |
| Economic profit | \$ | 12,636 | \$ | 8,606 | $46.8 \%$ |


| (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) | September 30, |  |  |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008 |  |  | 007 |  |
| Adjusted net income |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAAP net income Floating yield adjustment (after-tax) | \$ | 48,621 | \$ | 42,432 | 14.6\% |
|  |  | 8,955 |  | 1,964 |  |
| License fee yield adjustment (after-tax) |  | 1,703 |  | 3,632 |  |
| ```Gain from discontinued United Kingdom segment and other related items (after-tax)``` |  | (330) |  | (1,082) |  |
| Litigation |  | -- |  | 406 |  |
| Interest expense related to interest rate swap agreement |  | (23) |  | -- |  |
| Adjustment to record taxes at 37\% (1) |  | 294 |  | (566) |  |
| Adjusted net income (1) | \$ | 59,220 | \$ | 46,786 | 26.6\% |
| Adjusted net income per diluted share | \$ | 1.91 |  | 1.50 | 27.3\% |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 30,994,466 |  | 31,228,893 |  | -0.8\% |
| Adjusted average capital |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAAP average debt | \$ | 659,193 | \$ | 454,595 | 45.0\% |
| GAAP average shareholders' equity |  | 293,219 |  | 231,788 | 26.5\% |
| Floating yield adjustment |  | 12,135 |  | 7,669 |  |
| License fee yield adjustment |  | $(2,603)$ |  | $(6,448)$ |  |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ | 961,944 | \$ | 687,604 | 39.9\% |

Adjusted return on capital

| Adjusted net income | \$ | 59,220 | \$ | 46,786 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted interest expense after-tax |  | 19,996 |  | 16,870 |  |
| Adjusted net income plus interest expense after-tax | \$ | 79,216 | \$ | 63,656 | 24.4\% |
| Adjusted return on capital (2) |  | 11.0\% |  | 12.3\% | -10.6\% |

Economic profit
------------------
Adjusted return on capital
Cost of capital (3)

Adjusted return on capital in excess of cost of capital Adjusted average capital

Economic profit

|  | 11.0\% | 12.3\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.5\% |  | 7.1\% |  |
|  | 4.5\% |  | 5.2\% |  |
| \$ | 961,944 | \$ | 687,604 |  |
| \$ | 32,466 | \$ | 26,971 | 20.4\% |

(1) In prior year reports, we adjusted income taxes by equalizing the tax rate between the two periods presented. Beginning in the first quarter of 2008, we changed our methodology to normalize the tax rate to $37 \%$, as we estimate that to be our long term average effective tax rate. As a result of this change, the adjustment to income taxes and adjusted net income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 differ from what was reported in the prior year.
(2) Adjusted return on capital is defined as annualized adjusted net income plus adjusted interest expense after-tax divided by adjusted average capital.
(3) The cost of capital includes both a cost of equity and a cost of debt. The cost of equity capital is determined based on a formula that considers the risk of the business and the risk associated with our use of debt. The formula utilized for determining the cost of equity capital is as follows: (the average 30 year treasury rate $+5 \%)+[(1-$ tax rate) $x$ (the average 30 year treasury rate $+5 \%$ - pre-tax average cost of debt rate) $x$ average debt/(average equity + average debt $x$ tax rate)]. For the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the average 30 year treasury rate was $4.5 \%$ and $4.9 \%$, respectively. The adjusted pre-tax average cost of debt was $6.4 \%$ and $7.6 \%$, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the average 30 year treasury rate was $4.5 \%$ and $4.9 \%$, respectively. The adjusted pre-tax average cost of debt was $6.4 \%$ and $7.9 \%$, respectively.

|  | Quarter Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. } 30, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun. } 30, \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mar. 31, } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. 31, } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Adjusted net income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAAP net income \$ | 20,657 |  | 10,344 | \$ | 17,620 | \$ | 12,481 |
| Floating yield adjustment <br> (after-tax) 1,183 9,536 (1,765) 1,591 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| License fee yield adjustment (after-tax) | 506 |  | 653 |  | 544 |  | 1,353 |
| (Gain) loss from discontinued United Kingdom segment and other related items (aftertax) | (326) |  | 35 |  | (39) |  | (219) |
| Litigation | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |
| Interest expense related to interest rate swap agreement | (179) |  | (375) |  | 532 |  | 302 |
| Adjustment to record taxes at 37\% | 419 |  | (2) |  | (123) |  | (643) |
| Adjusted net income \$ | 22,260 | \$ | 20,191 | \$ | 16,769 | \$ | 14,865 |

Adjusted revenue

GAAP total revenue $\quad \$ \quad 80,107$ \$ 75,005 \$ 70,778 \$ $\quad \$ 3,232$
Floating yield adjustment $1,880 \quad 15,137 \quad(2,800) \quad 2,525$

| License fee yield adjustment |  | 804 |  | 1,036 |  | 863 |  | 2,150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provision for credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| losses |  | $(8,278)$ |  | $(20,782)$ |  | $(2,479)$ |  | $(6,345)$ |
| Adjusted revenue | \$ | 74,513 | \$ | 70,396 | \$ | 66,362 | \$ | 61,562 |


| GAAP average debt | \$ | 706,637 | \$ | 686,148 | \$ | 584,794 | \$ | 515,031 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAAP average shareholders' equity |  | 308,990 |  | 295,771 |  | 274,897 |  | 256,838 |
| Floating yield adjustment |  | 18,002 |  | 9,326 |  | 9,076 |  | 9,784 |
| License fee yield adjustment |  | $(2,048)$ |  | $(2,626)$ |  | $(3,136)$ |  | $(4,011)$ |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ | 031,581 | \$ | 988,619 | \$ | 865,631 | \$ | 777,642 |




Adjusted operating expenses as a percentage of adjusted average capital 10.8\% 11.3\% 13.6\% 14.7\%


Percentage change in
adjusted average capital compared to the same period in the prior year $42.3 \% \quad 39.6 \% \quad 37.5 \% \quad 35.5 \%$

Quarter Ended

| (Dollars in thousands) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. } 30, \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun. } 30, \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. 31, } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Adjusted net income

| GAAP net income | \$ | 14,742 | \$ | 12,331 | \$ | 15,359 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floating yield adjustment (after-tax) |  | 1,265 |  | 617 |  | 82 |
| License fee yield adjustment (after-tax) |  | 925 |  | 1,143 |  | 1,564 |
| ```(Gain) loss from discon- tinued United Kingdom segment and other rela- ted items (after-tax)``` |  | $(1,273)$ |  | 164 |  | 27 |
| Litigation |  | 91 |  | 315 |  |  |
| Interest expense related to interest rate swap agreement |  | -- |  | -- |  |  |
| Adjustment to record taxes at 37\% |  | 4 |  | 378 |  | (948) |
| Adjusted net income | \$ | 15,754 | \$ | 14,948 | \$ | 16,084 |


| GAAP total revenue | \$ | 61,058 | \$ | 58,286 | \$ | 57,351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Floating yield adjustment |  | 2,008 |  | 979 |  | 130 |
| License fee yield adjustment |  | 1,470 |  | 1,814 |  | 2,483 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | $(5,629)$ |  | $(3,968)$ |  | $(3,723)$ |
| Adjusted revenue | \$ | 58,907 | \$ | 57,111 | \$ | 56,241 |


| GAAP average debt \$ | \$ | 477,930 | \$ | 473,141 | \$ | 412,715 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GAAP average shareholders' equity |  | 243,922 |  | 233,465 |  | 217,977 |
| Floating yield adjustment |  | 8,348 |  | 8,073 |  | 6,587 |
| License fee yield adjustment |  | $(5,316)$ |  | $(6,345)$ |  | $(7,684)$ |
| Adjusted average capital | \$ | 724,884 | \$ | 708,334 | \$ | 629,595 |

Adjusted revenue as a percentage of adjusted average capital

$$
32.5 \% \quad 32.3 \%
$$

$35.7 \%$

```
Adjusted return on
capital
------------------
Mdjusted net income \ $ 15,754 $ 14,948 $ 
Adjusted return on
    capital (3)
\(11.8 \% \quad 11.8 \% \quad 13.5 \%\)
Adjusted operating
    expenses
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline GAAP salaries and wages \$ & & 13,620 & \$ & 13,092 & \$ & 11,861 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{GAAP general and administrative} & 7,266 & & 7,359 & & 5,917 \\
\hline GAAP sales and marketing & & 3,835 & & 4,144 & & 4,472 \\
\hline Litigation & & (145) & & (500) & & -- \\
\hline Adjusted operating expenses & \$ & 24,576 & \$ & 24,095 & \$ & 22,250 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Adjusted operating
    expenses as a percentage
    of adjusted average
    capital
\(=============================13.6\)
Percentage change in ad-
    justed average capital
    compared to the same
    period in the prior year 34.2% 29.4% 20.8%
```

                        ============ ====================
    Floating Yield Adjustment

The purpose of this adjustment is to modify the calculation of our GAAP-based finance charge revenue so that favorable and unfavorable changes in expected cash flows from loans receivable are treated consistently. To make the adjustment understandable, we must first explain how GAAP requires us to account for finance charge revenue, our primary revenue source.

Finance charge revenue equals the cash inflows from our loan portfolio less cash outflows to acquire the loans. Our GAAP finance charge revenue is based on estimates of future cash flows and is recognized on a level-yield basis over the estimated life of the loan. With the level-yield approach, the amount of finance charge revenue recognized from a loan in a given period, divided by the loan asset, is a constant percentage. Under GAAP, favorable changes in expected cash flows are treated as increases to the yield and are recognized over time, while unfavorable changes are recorded as a current period expense. The non-GAAP methodology that we use (the "floating yield" method) is identical to the GAAP approach except that, under the "floating yield" method, all changes in expected cash flows (both positive and negative) are treated as yield adjustments and therefore impact earnings over time. The GAAP treatment always results in a lower carrying value of the loan receivable asset, but may result in either higher or lower earnings for any given period depending on the timing and amount of expected cash flow changes.

We believe floating yield earnings are a more accurate reflection of the performance of our business, since both favorable and unfavorable changes in estimated cash flows are treated consistently.

```
License Fee Yield Adjustment
```

The purpose of this adjustment is to make revenue from license fees comparable across time periods. In 2001, we began charging dealer-partners a monthly licensing fee for access to our internet-based Credit Approval Processing System, also known as CAPS.

Effective January 1, 2007, we implemented a change in the way these fees are charged designed to positively impact dealerpartner attrition. We continue to charge a monthly license fee of $\$ 599$, but instead of collecting the fee in the current period, we collect it from future dealer holdback payments.

As a result of this change, (as of January 1, 2007) we record license fees on a GAAP basis as a yield adjustment, recognizing these fees as finance charge revenue over the term of the dealer loan because collection is dependent on the future cash flows of the loan. Previously, we had recorded the fee as license fee revenue in the month the fee was charged. The current GAAP treatment is more consistent with the cash economics of the business.

To allow for proper comparisons between periods, we make an adjustment to our financial results as though license fees had always been recorded as a yield adjustment. The license fee adjustment will become less significant in future periods. The license fee adjustment is projected to be $\$ 2.1$ million, $\$ 0.8$ million and $\$ 0.3$ million in 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively. The adjustment will be immaterial starting in 2011.

```
Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information
```

We claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for all of our forward-looking statements. Statements in this release that are not historical facts, such as those using terms like "may," "will," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "assume," "forecast," "estimate," "intend," "plan", "target" and those regarding our future results, plans and objectives, are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward-looking statements represent our outlook only as of the date of this release. Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements since the statements are based on our current expectations, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, the factors set forth in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, other risk factors discussed herein or listed from time to time in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the following:

```
* Our inability to accurately forecast and estimate the amount and
    timing of future collections could have a material adverse
    effect on results of operations.
* We may be unable to continue to access or renew funding sources
    and obtain capital on favorable terms needed to maintain and
    grow the business.
* The conditions of the U.S. and international capital markets may
    adversely affect lenders the Company has relationships with,
    causing us to incur additional cost and reducing our sources of
    liquidity which may adversely affect our financial position,
    liquidity and results of operations.
* Due to increased competition from traditional financing sources
    and non-traditional lenders, we may not be able to compete
    successfully.
```

```
* We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow to service
our outstanding debt and fund operations.
* Requirements under credit facilities to meet financial and
    portfolio performance covenants.
* Interest rate fluctuations may adversely affect our borrowing
    costs, profitability and liquidity.
* The substantial regulation to which we are subject could result
    in potential liability.
* Adverse changes in economic conditions, or in the automobile or
    finance industries or the non-prime consumer market, could
    adversely affect our financial position, liquidity and results
    of operations and our ability to enter into future financing
    transactions.
* Litigation we are involved in from time to time may adversely
    affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash
    flows.
* We are dependent on our senior management and the loss of any of
    these individuals or an inability to hire additional personnel
    could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.
* Our inability to properly safeguard confidential consumer
    information.
* Our operations could suffer from telecommunications or
    technology downtime or increased costs.
* Natural disasters, acts of war, terrorist attacks and threats or
    the escalation of military activity in response to such attacks
    or otherwise may negatively affect our business, financial
    condition and results of operations.
```

Other factors not currently anticipated by management may also materially and adversely affect our results of operations. We do not undertake, and expressly disclaim any obligation, to update or alter our statements whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

Description of Credit Acceptance Corporation

Since 1972, Credit Acceptance has provided auto loans to consumers, regardless of their credit history. Our product is offered through a nationwide network of automobile dealers who benefit from sales of vehicles to consumers who otherwise could not obtain financing; from repeat and referral sales generated by these same customers; and from sales to customers responding to advertisements for our product, but who actually end up qualifying for traditional financing.

Without our product, consumers are often unable to purchase a vehicle or they purchase an unreliable one and are not provided the opportunity to improve their credit standing. As we report to the three national credit reporting agencies, a significant number of our consumers improve their lives by improving their credit score and move on to more traditional sources of financing. Credit Acceptance is publicly traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol CACC. For more information, visit creditacceptance.com.


Net income per common
share:

| Basic | \$ | 0.68 | \$ | 0.49 | \$ | 1.61 | \$ | 1.41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.67 | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 1.57 | \$ | 1.36 |



Gain from discontinued operations per common share:

| Basic | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.04 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.03 |

Weighted average shares outstanding:

| Basic | $30,310,053$ | $30,015,048$ | $30,223,586$ | $30,069,639$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diluted | $31,024,455$ | $31,139,612$ | $30,994,466$ | $31,228,893$ |

CREDIT ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| As of |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept. } 30, \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ |
| (Unaudited) |  |
| 934 | 712 |
| 82,993 | 74,102 |
| 3,933 | 3,290 |

Loans receivable (including $\$ 16,067$ and $\$ 16,125$ from affiliates as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively) 1,155,591 944,698 Allowance for credit losses
$(119,184)(134,145)$

Loans receivable, net

| 1,036,407 | 810,553 |
| :---: | :---: |

Property and equipment, net
21,550 20,124
Income taxes receivable
10,012 20,712
Other assets

Total Assets
14,527 12,689
------------ -------------
$\$ 1,170,356$ \$ 942,182
============ ===========

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

## Liabilities:

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities $\$ 79,845$ \$ 79,834
Line of credit
Secured financing
82,900 36,300
602,429 488,065
Mortgage note and capital lease obligations 6,608 7,765
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[^0]:    * Our forecasts start with the assumption that loans in our current portfolio will perform like historical loans with similar attributes.
    * We reduced our forecasts during the second quarter on loans

[^1]:    * Expanded our bank line of credit to $\$ 153.5$ million and renewed to June 2010

